(114)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition No. 3675 of 2004

Petitioners

1. Hans Raj Singh, S/o. Shri Amar Jeet Singh, Aged about 32 years, Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Electrician, Hasdeo Area, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Korba (C.G.)
2. Chandrasen Singh, S/o. Shri Tilak Raj Singh, Aged about 32 years, Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Electrician, Hasdeo Area, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Korba (C.G.)
3. Mani Pratap Singh, S/o. Shri Anirudh Singh, Aged about 30 years, Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Fitter, Chirimiri Area, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Korea (C.G.)
4. Shivraj Singh, S/o. Late Shri Ramadhar Singh, Aged about 32 years, Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Fitter, Chirimiri Area, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Korea (C.G.)
5. Prabha Shankar Singh, S/o. Shri Ram Gopal Singh, Aged about 32 years, Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Fitter, Hasdeo Area, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Korba (C.G.)
6. Rajendra Singh, S/o. Shri Ram Garib Singh, Aged about 35 years, Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Fitter, Sohagpur Area, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Shahdol (M.P.)

Versus

Respondents

1. Chairman, Coal India Limited, 10, Netaji Subhash Road, Kolkata (W.B.)
2. Chairman-cum-Managing Director, South Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Seepat Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Director (Personnel), South Eastern Coalfileds Ltd., Seepat Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Member Secretary, JBCCI-VI (Joint Bipartite Committee for the Coal Industry), Coal India Limited, 10, Netaji Subhash Road, Kolkata (W.B.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri)

Shri Amit Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Praveen Das, Advocate for the respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on this 12th day of July, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are similarly situated to that of the respondents in the matter of Chairman, Central Coalfields Ltd. & others Vs. Jivendra Prasad Tiwari & others (Civil Appeal No. 1714 of 2007). Learned counsel further submits that the said Civil Appeal has been disposed of vide order dated 29th March, 2007, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Learned counsel further submits that this case may also be disposed of in the same terms, to which the learned counsel appearing for the respondents/S.E.C.L. does not controvert.

2. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

B/-